

30778/R 1030 3 mg & Common 4 = 12.

Jann. Bell

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2019 with funding from Wellcome Library



# Dr. JURIN'S ACCOUNT

OF THE

## SUCCESS

OF

Inoculating the SMALL-POX,
For the Year 1726.



(Price Six-pence.)

The sea of the sea of

The same beautiful to the same of the same

4 ...

## ACCOUNT

OF THE

#### SUCCESS

OF

Inoculating the SMALL-POX in Great-Britain, for the Year 1726.

#### WITH

A COMPARISON between the Miscarriages in that Practice, and the Mortality of the Natural Small-Pox.

By JAMES JURIN, M. D. R. S. Secr. Physician to Mr. Guy's Hospital, and Reader of Anatomy at Surgeons-Hall.

-Placet experientia veri.

#### LONDON:

Printed for J. PEELE, at Locke's-Head in Pater-noster-Row. M.DCCXXVII.

# 

HISTORICAL MEDICAL



MAN AN

# ACCOUNT

OF THE

## SUCCESS

OF

Inoculating the Small-Pox, &c.



HE favourable Reception given by the Publick to my former Papers upon this Subject, encourages

me to proceed in laying before them the necessary Information for making a right Judgment, whe-

whether the Practice of Inoculation ought to be purfued, or rejected. Such a Judgment, I am perswaded, can no otherwise be made, than from Matter of Fact and Experience truly represented. This I have hitherto done to the best of myPower, and have the Satisfaction to see, that even those, who have been the most warmly engaged in this Controversy, have neither disputed the Truth of the Facts I have deliver'd, nor offer'd to invalidate the Consequences drawn from them. I have indeed studiously avoided giving any Occasion for such Disputes, both by the Pains I have taken to be rightly inform'd, and by the Caution I have used in laying down but few Consequences, and those

no other than fuch as necessarily and clearly follow from the Facts themselves. This I have done, partly to fave my own Time, which may be better fpent than in endless wrangling, and partly because I think it neceffary, that a Person who pretends to enable the Publick to decide between two contending Parties, should not only be really impartial, but, as much as possible, preserve the Reputation of being fo, which can only be done by keeping clear of Controverfy. I am sensible that, in spite of all myEndeavours to maintain this Character, yet I have not been so happy as to preserve it in the Opinion of a great many Persons engaged on both sides of the Question. The Favourers

of Inoculation object, that I have inferted several Persons into the List of those suspected to have died of Inoculation, whose Death was evidently owing to other Causes, as appears from the state of their Cases published by myself; and consequently that I have represented the odds in favour of that Practice, as much less than they really are. I must own, they have Justice on their fide in this Objection, and would have unquestionable reason to complain of me, if I had afferted, that those Persons had in reality died of Inoculation: But as I have only put them down as suspected to have died of it, and have at the same Time publish'd the Account of each of their Cases, whereby it is put in

the Power of the Reader to difallow fuch of them as he thinks proper, and to alter the Odds accordingly, I hope this may plead my Excuse; especially if it be consider'd, that by inserting in this List every Patient, that has been by any Persons, how unreasonably soever, suspected to have died by Inoculation, I have thereby been able to state the Ultimatum of all that is pretended to by the Oppofers of this Practice; and that, after all their Pretenfions are allow'd, there still remains a great and unquestionable Advantage on the fide of Inoculation, above the taking the Hazard of the Distemper in the Natural Way. With this Answer I hope the Gentlemen on that side of the B Que-

Question will be satisfied; at least it is all that I have to offer: But I am afraid there will be more difficulty to content their Antagonists, who, I find, are so far from thinking me impartial, that they look upon me as a declar'd Favourer and Advocate for the Practice of Inoculation; though their chief Reafon for doing fo, is only that my Account of Matter of Fact comes out in Favour of that Method. If it had proved otherwise, those Gentlemen would have been my very good Friends, and the Inoculators might perhaps have complain'd, that I was endeavouring to run down their Practice. So apt are we to be displeas'd with every Relation, how just soever, that difagrees

agrees with the Sentiments we have once espous'd; and so difficult is it, for the strictest Impartiality, to content Two oppofite Parties. But perhaps the Gentlemen, who oppose this Practice, may hereafter have reason to think more favourably of me, as they will undoubtedly do, if my Account in succeeding Years, should prove more to their Advantage than it has hitherto done. And that in a little Time this may be the Cafe, is not improbable, if we observe, how apt the Generality of Mankind is to run from one extreme to the other. For as formidable a Practice as this was reckon'd, at its Introduction among us, no fooner are we got over our first Appre-B 2

hensions, and begin to think it safe and useful, but we lay afide that Care and Caution, which alone could render it fuccessful, and venture at once upon the Operation, without regard to the Condition our Bodies are in to undergo it, to the most favourable Time of doing it, or the Assistance and Advice that is necessary to conduct us through the Distemper. However unnecessary this last Article may appear to those, who think any body, that can wound the Skin and lay on a Plaister, is fit to take care of a Patient in the Small-Pox; yet furely, if Physicians had been generally advised with, we should never have had so many Persons inoculated at the very time, when they already

ready laboured under dangerous Diseases; nor so many others in the Flower and Vigour of their Age, from violent Exercise, luxurious Living, and Drinking to Excess, come at once to the Operation, as if it were no more than a Frolick, without any Preparation for it, whether by Evacuations, or a temperate Regimen; nor should we perhaps have seen this Practice so much neglected in the most favourable Seafons, and almost only made use of, when the natural Small-Pox is the most malignant and fatal. I must own, I think nothing shews so much the Safety of this Operation, as that, with all these Disadvantages, so few have yet died of it.

But to proceed to my intended Account, I must remind the Reader of the two Questions I have proposed to be determined by Experience, upon the Decision of which the Fate of Inoculation seems principally to depend.

- 1. Whether the Distemper given by Inoculation be an effectual Security to the Patient, against his having the Small-Pox afterwards in the Natural Way?
- 2. Whether the Hazard of Inoculation be confiderably less than that of the Natural Small-Pox?

#### [ 15 ]

In relation to the first of these, there have been several Stories spread abroad of Persons, who had the Small-Pox first by Inoculation, and afterwards in the Natural Way, which may be feen in my former Accounts, with the Answers to them; from which I think it plainly appears, that none of those Reports had any just Foundation. The full decision therefore of this Question must be left to longer Experience; though as far as we have feen hitherto, the affirmative fide of it appears highly probable, even in those Cases where only the imperfe& Small-Pox have appeared: For of the others, I suppose, little doubt will be made, all Persons who have had the real Small-Pox, feeming

#### [ 16 ]

to be equally fafe from the return of them, in whatfoever way the Distemper was contracted.

I shall proceed therefore to what I have to offer, from the last Year's Experience, towards the Determination of the second Question, viz. Whether the Hazard of Inoculation be considerably less than that of the Natural Small-Pox?

#### [ 17 ]

Sick of the Died. Small Pox. By my last Ac-Z17151 2848 count At Dover, from? Sept. 29. 1725, to Dec. 25. 1726, 61 503 communicated by Dr. Lynch of Canterbury, At Deal, from) Christmas 1725, 362 to Nov.29.1726, by the fame, At Kemsey near) Worcester, communicated Dr. Beard. Total 18089 2957

C

From

#### [ 18 ]

From this Account it appears, that of about Eighteen Thoufand Perfons of all Ages, that havehad the Natural Small-Pox, in different Years and all Seafons, there have died nearly One in Six, which therefore we may lay down for the Hazard of the Natural Small-Pox, till by enlarging our Numbers we come nearer to the Truth.

The Account of Inoculation for the Year 1726 is as follows.

Inoculated in and about London.

By Claudius Amyand, Esq;
Serjeant Surgeon to his 35
Majesty

## [ 19 ]

By Mr. Che/elden, Surgeon,	
By Mr. Chefelden, Surgeon, under the Direction of Dr.	> 4
Plumptre,	)
Mr. Pemberton, Surgeon,	2
Mr. Wemyss, Surgeon,	2
Mr. Pawlett, Surgeon,	I
Mr. Maitland, Surgeon,	2
By the fame in Scotland,	IO
By the same near Durham,	6
Hallifax, &c.	
By Dr. Nettleton,	IS
Leverpoole.	
By Dr. Angier,	2
York.	^
By Mr. Naish, Surgeon,	I
Nantwich.	
By Mr. Ryley, Surgeon,	4
	-
Worcester,	
By Dr. Beard,	4
C 2 Ha	gley
F	

# [ 20 ]

Hagley in Worcestersbire,	
By Sir Thomas Lyttelton, Bar.	4
Wrotham in Kent.	
By Mr. Dane, Surgeon, un-7	
By Mr. Dane, Surgeon, un- der the Direction of the Rev. Dr. Curteis,	2
Rev. Dr. Curteis,	
Ringwood in Hampsbire.	
By Mr. Bright, Surgeon,	3
Salisbury.	
TO 38 7777 , 38 T	I
Shepton Mallet in Somersetshire	,
By the same,	1
By Mr. Towgood, Surgeon,	3
Total Control	
Total 103	5

The following Table contains the feveral Ages of the Patients, with the Success of the Operation, in the same Manner with those publish'd before.

	Perfor 1	Had t Pox tion.	Had a fe& Inoc	No	Sufpe have Inoc
Agc.	Persons inoculated.	Had the Small- Pox by Inocu- tion.	lad an Imper- fe& Sm. P. by Inoculation.	Effect.	Suspected to have died of Inoculation.
Under I			77		
Year.	3	3	0	0	Ö
1 Y. to 2	7 8		0 0 0 0	0 0	I
2 to 3		7.8	0	_	0 0 0 0
3 4	16	16	0	0	0
4	16 8 28			0 0 0	0
5 10	28	27 12	I	0	0
10 15	12		0		
15 20	14	12	2	0	0
20, Ec.	91	7	I	E	0
Total	105	100	4	I	I

The

The Case of this one Person suspected to have died of Inoculation, is as follows.

Mr. Adam Urquart, Son of William Urguart, Esq; of Meldrum, aged One Year and and a half, was inoculated at Meldrum in Aberdeensbire, ' August 29. 1726, ficken'd the Seventh Day, and died the Eighth Day, before any Appearance of an Eruption, of Fits (from a Hydrocephalus) which he had formerly been fubject to, tho' concealed both from the Parents and the Operator; from the first, because the Surgeon, that attended him, d'ared not to afflict the tender and then fickly Parents with fuch bad News; from ' the

## [ 23 ]

the fecond, by the Absence of

s that Surgeon, and Ignorance

of the Nurse, unacquainted

' with fuch Disorders, tho' he

(the Operator) carefully and

e particularly enquired into it

before the Operation. All

' which he learned of the Sur-

' geon after the Child's Death.

N. B. His Brother and Sifter,

' inoculated at the same time,

' had a fair and favourable Dif-

ease.

#### Mr. MAITLAND.

By my Account publish'd the last Year, the whole Number of Persons, who had then had the true Small-Pox by Inoculation, was 624, Thirteen of which had been suspected to have died of Inocu-

Inoculation; and this Year we have one dead out of an Hundred; that is, in all 14 out of 724, or nearly One out of 52. If therefore we lay this down as the Hazard of dying of Inoculation, we allow all that is pretended to by the Opposers of that Practice, and there still remains a great Advantage on the Side of Inoculation, above the Hazard of taking the Difease in the Natural Way, in which we find there dies one in Six. But those, who upon perusal of the Cases of these Fourteen Persons, find reason to think that several of them did not really die of Inoculation, but of other Accidents, or Diseases, may, by striking off fuch of them as they think

## [ 25 ]

think proper, confiderably reduce the Odds of Dying of Inoculation below what I have re. presented them. This therefore I leave to the Judgment of every impartial Reader; but before I conclude, I must beg leave to mention one Particular, in which I think it incumbent upon me to do Justice to this Practice. It is allow'd on all Hands, that some of these Fourteen were diseased Persons; and therefore the Hazard of Dying of Inoculation, to Perfons who have this Operation perform'd upon them in perfect Health, is unquestionably less than that of One in Fifty Two, as we had stated it.

#### POSTSCRIPT.

THile these Papers were in the Press, I was favour'd with a Letter from the Learned Dr. Thorold, Physician at Uxbridge, containing an Account of above Forty Persons inoculated by his Direction, who have all recover'd. But the Doctor's Letter coming fo very late, by reason of an Indisposition he has labour'd under for some Time, and not containing the Names and Ages of the Patients, I could not insert them in this Year's Account, but must defer them till my next.

#### FINIS

#### ADVERTISE MENT.

A L L Persons concern'd in the Practice of inoculating the Small-Pox, are desired to keep a Register of the Name, Age and Habitation of every Person inoculated, the Manner of the Operation, the Days of Sickening and of the Eruption, the Sort of Small-Pox that is produc'd, and the Event.

Where the true Small-Pox is not produc'd by Inoculation, it will be of Use to take particular Notice, whether the Patient had any other Kind of Eruption, what Symptoms preceded or attended it, whether the Incisions inflam'd and run, and for what Time their Running continu'd.

In Case any Person shall happen to die after Inoculation, either in the Course of the Small-Pox, or after they are gone off, it is desired that a particular Relation of the Case may be made, and attested, if it be judg'd necessary, by the nearest Relations of the Party deceas'd, or by other credible Persons, that were Witnesses to the Fact.

They are intreated to fend these Accounts, or an Extract from them, comprehending all Persons inoculated from the Beginning to the End of the present Year, to Dr. Jurin, Secretary to the Royal Society, some Time in January, or at farthest in February next, that so the Result of them may be published early in the Spring,

Garlick-Hill, Bow-Lane, May 10, 1727.



















.

The state of the s

